

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/660,732 09/12/2003 9988.057.00-US 4914 In Hee Han **EXAMINER** 30827 08/23/2004 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL 1900 K STREET, NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WASHINGTON, DC 20006 3749

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. // (/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/660,732	HAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen Gravini	3749
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r. n. a reply within the statutory minimum of third eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	2 September 2003.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		•
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	· ·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 H.S.C. 8	5 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	sign priority under 55 0.0.0. §	; 113(a)-(a) of (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received	
		nnlication No
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu 	·	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received
200 mile attached dotailed emoc action for a	inc. or the common copies flot	
Attachment(s)		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intention S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of references cited (*10-692)2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_ ·

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In this case, the specification and claims discuss or recite chambered and chamfered interchangeably. It is considered that those terms are not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention because it is considered unclear how each term is intended to be used for patent protection.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 recites chambered parts which is

Art Unit: 3749

considered indefinite because it is unclear from the specification how that term is to be defined within the claim. Claim 2 recites chamfered which lacks a positive antecedent basis and is also considered indefinite because of its unclear definition from the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Torborg et al. (US 5,555,647). Torborg is considered to disclose the claimed invention including a motor shaft structure **30** in a clothes dryer including a drying drum **22** rotatably mounted in a body **16**, a motor bracket **36** fixed to a bottom of an inside of the body, a motor **24** mounted on the motor bracket for generating a rotating power, and a fan **46** coupled to the motor with a shaft **34**, the motor shaft comprising chambered parts **35** wherein the disclosed annular groove is considered patentably equivalent to the claimed chambered parts based on the broadest reasonable interpretation from the definitions discussed in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3749

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torborg in view of Baker (US 5,203,093). Torborg is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above under the anticipatory rejection, except for the claimed chamfered parts in the motor shaft having D-cut forms. Baker is considered to disclose chamfered parts in the motor shaft having D-cut forms at column 3 lines 16-40 wherein the disclosed cradle acts to perform the patentable function of the claimed d-cut. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Torborg with the considered teachings found in Baker for the purpose of providing a better maintenance feature for clothes dryer shaft assemblies.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References A and D, cited in this action, are considered to teach clothes dryer shaft structures.

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 703 308 7570. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira S. Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Smg August 19, 2004